

# EDUCATING ENGINEERS: INTEGRATING NANOTECHNOLOGY WITHIN AN EXISTING CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CURRICULUM

---

*Sustainable Nanotechnology Organization Conference, Orlando, FL  
November 10, 2016*

Nicole D. Berge<sup>1</sup>, Charles E. Pierce<sup>1</sup>, Joseph R.V. Flora<sup>1</sup>, Fabio  
Matta<sup>1</sup>, Juan Caicedo<sup>1</sup>, Yeomin Yoon<sup>1</sup>, Sarah L. Gassman<sup>1</sup>,  
Robert Petrulis<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Civil & Environmental Engineering, University of South Carolina

<sup>2</sup>EPRE Consulting LLC, Columbia, SC



# Project Goal

---

Develop and implement an integrated nanotechnology theme within the existing CEE undergraduate program focusing on environmental implications associated with end-of-life management of nanomaterial-containing products/wastes.

1. Introduce content using problem-based learning modules in existing courses
2. Enhance integration by linking content between courses

# List of Courses Impacted

---

- Freshman

- ECIV 101: Introduction to Civil Engineering (R)

- Junior

- ECIV 303: Civil Engineering Materials (R)
- ECIV 303L: Civil Engineering Materials Lab (E)
- ECIV 350: Intro. to Environmental Engineering (R)
- ECIV 350L: Intro. to Environmental Engineering Lab (E)

- Junior/Senior

- ECIV 555: Principles of Municipal Solid Waste Engr. (E)
- ECIV 533: Geosynthetics and Geotechnical Design of Landfills (E)
- ECIV 490: Introduction to CEE Research (E)

*R = required; E = elective*

# List of Courses Impacted + Activities

---

- Freshman

- ECIV 101: Introduction to Civil Engineering (R)

Problem-based Learning Modules:

1. Dimensions of a sedimentation basin for particle removal
2. Mass of single walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) needed to remove natural organic matter in water treatment system

- Junior

- ECIV 303: Civil Engineering Materials (R)

Group Project:

Investigate the impact of material properties (e.g., strength) when incorporating nanomaterials

- ECIV 303L: Civil Engineering Materials Lab (E)

Problem-based Learning Modules:

Design and manufacture nanomaterial-containing cement composites for nuclear waste storage

# List of Courses Impacted + Activities

---

- Junior

- ECIV 350: Intro. to Environmental Engineering (R)

Problem-based Learning Module:

1. Dimensions of a sedimentation basin for particle removal
2. Relationship between particle size and surface area and how this relates to contaminant removal

Group Project:

Produce a “nanomercial” highlighting potential environmental impacts associated with nanomaterials

All “nanomercials” are posted on the project YouTube Channel:

[https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCLnCwjvSZgGjKWFyt\\_Mny4Q](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCLnCwjvSZgGjKWFyt_Mny4Q)

Traditional Lectures:

Introduction to nanotechnology and what a nanomaterial is

# List of Courses Impacted + Activities

---

- Junior/Senior

- ECIV 350L: Intro. to Environmental Engineering Lab (E)

Problem-based Learning Module:

Determine the mass of  $\text{TiO}_2$  required to disinfect water for a small community

- ECIV 555: Principles of Municipal Solid Waste Engr. (E)

Problem-based Learning Module:

Estimate the possible concentration of nanomaterials in leachate from a landfill in which nanomaterial-containing consumer products are discarded

Traditional Material Presentation (Lectures):

Introduction to nanotechnology and what a nanomaterial is

# List of Courses Impacted + Activities

---

- Junior/Senior

- ECIV 533: Geosynthetics and Geotechnical Design of Landfills (E)

Problem-based Learning Module:

Determine the ultimate tensile strength (psi) of the geomembrane in a landfill liner system if exposed to nanomaterials

- ECIV 490: Introduction to CEE Research (E)

Problem-based Learning Module:

Conduct independent research on a nanomaterial related topic

Note:

- *All problem-based modules integrate concepts with course content and nanotechnology*
- *Modules in ECIV 555 and 535 were linked, and conducted simultaneously.*

# Student Exposure

---

- 70% of our undergraduate student population was exposed at least once (over a 3 year period)

Times of Exposure (at least)	1	2	3	4
Number of Students	259	105	23	4

# Student Feedback: Survey Results

---

- Survey emailed to all students impacted at least once
- 45 students responded
- During the past three years, do you recall learning about the subject of nanotechnology or nanomaterials in your ECIV courses?

Yes	No
87%	13%

# Student Feedback: Survey Results

---

- *Based on what you learned, what specific concept or concepts about nanomaterials stand out the most for you?*

Category	Number of Students
Applications (uses of nanomaterials)	14
Surface Area	9
Environmental Implications	9
Strength	6

*“I was surprised to learn how common nanomaterials are. They are in countless household and beauty products and could have a large impact on our environment.”*

# Student Feedback: Survey Results

---

- Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements. You may skip any statement if you feel it does not apply to you (scale from 1 – 5):*

Question	Average Score
Because nanotechnology was covered in more than one course, I was able to understand several different dimensions of the topic.	3.95
I enjoyed revisiting the subject of nanotechnology in more than one course	3.56

# Student Feedback: Survey Results

---

- *In a few words, what did you like about the nanotechnology classes you experienced?*

Category	Number of Students
Being provided the opportunity to learn cutting-edge material	15
Interactive projects	4
Creative thinking	3
Learning about the formation of nanomaterials	1

*“The projects were interactive and made us think outside the box considering not many undergraduate students have been exposed to nanotechnology material.”*

# Student Feedback: Survey Results

---

- *Were there aspects that you did not like? If so, what were they and why didn't you like them?*

Category	Number of Students
Forced content	3
Repetitive	3
Problem-Based Learning	9
Nothing Described	16

*“They are always just thrown in the class because of the grant. It doesn't go along with what we are learning.”*

*“Also, the PBL questions are never explained well and are very frustrating because we are never prepared for those types of questions.”*

# Activity Assessment: Focus Groups

---

- There was a general consensus that there is a desire and need for classes in nanotechnology and for that content to be incorporated into a greater number of courses.
- Students responded favorably to the linking of activities between the two solid waste courses:
  - *“We liked how it crossed the two courses, so we could see how it’s affecting not just one area, but two different ones.”*

# Lessons Learned

---

- Student (based on focus group interviews, surveys, assignments)
  - Students are open to and excited about being exposed to new, emerging technology
  - Better/smoothier integration of content within courses is needed
- Faculty (based on interviews)
  - Some students are resistant to problem-based learning; they are uncomfortable with the lack of definition of the kinds of problems they are given
  - Developing modules is an iterative process
  - Introducing a “theme” across a curriculum is possible

# Lessons Learned

---

- Faculty (based on interviews) – cont'd
  - Because of changes in the order students take courses in their Junior/Senior years, linking between courses can be difficult

# Acknowledgements

---

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. EEC-1343756. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.



# Thank You!

# Questions?



Nicole D. Berge  
Associate Professor  
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208  
Phone: 803-777-7521  
Email: [berge@enr.sc.edu](mailto:berge@enr.sc.edu)